



**VILLAGE OF LINCOLNWOOD
PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
VILLAGE HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
6:30 PM, SEPTEMBER 23, 2021**

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order**
- II. Roll Call**
- III. Public Comment**
- IV. Approval of Minutes**
 - 1. Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting of September 9, 2021
- V. Regular Business**
 - 1. Discussion Concerning Potential Building Code Revision Regarding Aluminum Conductors (Doug Hammel)
 - 2. Discussion Concerning Boards and Commissions Code Review (Chuck Meyer)
- VI. Closed Session**
- VII. Adjournment**

DATE POSTED: September 16, 2021

**VILLAGE OF LINCOLNWOOD
PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES
MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
VILLAGE HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
AVAILABLE LIVE AT
WWW.LINCOLNWOODIL.ORG/LIVE-CABLE-CHANNEL/
SEPTEMBER 9, 2021**

DRAFT

Call to Order

President Patel called the Committee of the Whole Meeting of the Lincolnwood Board of Trustees to order at 6:00 P.M. Thursday, September 9, 2021, in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Complex at 6900 N. Lincoln Avenue, Village of Lincolnwood, County of Cook, and State of Illinois.

Upon roll call by Village Clerk Beryl Herman the following were:

Present: President Patel, Trustees Herrera, Klatzco, Sargon, Saleem, Martel and Halevi.

Absent: None

A quorum was present.

Also present: Village Manager Anne Marie Gaura, The following were present Chuck Meyer, Assistant Village Manager; Matt Pasquini, Management Analyst; Denise Joseph, Finance Director; Nadim Badran, Public Works Director; Scott Mangum, Community Development Director; Doug Hammel, Development Manager.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of August 17, 2021 Committee of the Whole Meetings were presented for approval.

Trustee Sargon moved to approve the minutes of August 17, 2021 Committee of the Whole Meetings, seconded by Trustee Halevi.

Upon Roll Call the results were:

Ayes: Trustees Herrera, Klatzco, Sargon, Saleem, Martel and Halevi.

Nays: None

Minutes Approved

Regular Business

1. Discussion Concerning the Open Space Land Acquisition and Development Grant Program

This item was presented by Interim Parks and Recreation Director, Dan Schimmel.

Mr. Schimmel noted that late in June the Village was made aware that the Open Space Land Acquisition and Development Grant Program would open up for interested parties. He stated that this was a great opportunity for the Village to receive up to \$400,000 in matching funds to pursue a development project. The Village engaged Upland Design of Plainfield, Illinois to

begin the process. Mr. Schimmel introduced Michelle Kelly, Principal of Upland Design to the Board to go through the application process.

Ms. Kelly approached the podium to begin her presentation. She noted that Flowers Park is a perfect location to focus on for planned development, as the Village were already considering renovation of this area before the Development Grant Program was announced. She explained that they had spoken to the public in a meeting held on July 22nd at Flowers Park. 30 people attended this meeting, and 66 surveys were received providing input on the project. There was a second meeting on August 9th, but due to bad weather only nine people could attend the meeting. One more survey is planned so that a vote can be conducted on what the Village should do with the tennis courts in Flowers Park. Ultimately, Village and Upland staff managed to prioritize items included in this project to get the total cost down to roughly \$800,000. Included in this is a new playground, tennis and basketball courts, furniture, fitness stations, game area, pollinator garden, GAGA pit and widened, new pathways throughout the path.

Power Point presentations were included with the following information:

Why Flowers Park?

- *Tennis Courts and Basketball Courts are cracked and the color coating is fading and peeling off. The courts are over 25 years old. By known standards and by condition the courts need a total renovation.
- *The playground was installed over 15 years ago. This also exceeds standards with newer requirements for safety and Americans with Disabilities Act.
- *General Conditions – paths are cracked throughout the park, furniture standards are bent, table slats are broken and present an unwelcoming environment.

Public Input –The Cornerstone of All Successful Projects

*First Public Input Meeting – July 22

+Held at Flowers Park

+Over 30 people attended the meeting

+66 surveys were received providing input into the project

+Purpose of the Meeting

^Present Concepts – Items that could be included in the park

^Listen to problems the neighbors could tell us about the current design

^Listen to what the neighbors would like to see included in the park

^To inform the community of the grant process and the timeline

*Second Public Input Meeting

Meeting moved from Proesel Shelter to Community Center – Weather – Because of bad weather, only nine people attended this meeting

*Purpose of the meeting:

+To present playground designs and to determine the preferred choice

+To present the Concept Plan for the redevelopment of Flowers Park.

What is Included

*Completely renovated, color- coated tennis courts

*Completely renovated color- coated basketball courts

*New and exciting playground for ages 2-12 meeting all current safety and ADA requirements

- *Widened, new pathways throughout the park
- *New furniture (tables, benches, signs)
- *Fitness Station (like the popular station at Proesel Park)
- *Pollinator Garden with interpretive signs (tying in the Flowers name)
- *GAGA Pit

After delineating the timeline for the project, Ms. Kelly asked the Board if they had any questions.

After a round of short questions clarifying the potential locations of additional bathrooms and other features, Ms. Kelly thanked the Board and stepped away from the podium.

2. Discussion Concerning Boards and Commissions Code Review

This item was presented by Charles Meyer, Assistant Village Manager, using PowerPoint.

Board and Commission Code Review

Village Board directed a review of the Village Code starting with Chapter 3: Boards and Commissions

Reviewing general changes to the Village Code

Board and Commission Code Review Schedule

- *September 9: Review of General Code Changes
- *September 23: Review Telecommunications Advisory Commission, Traffic Commission, Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB), Board of Trustees of Police Pension Fund, and Board of Police Commissioners (BFPC), along with standing Committees of the Village Board
- *October 10 Committee of the Whole: Discussion of future review of the Village Code requested by the Village Board

3-13-1- There is hereby established an Environmental Commission. Through April 30, 2023, the Environmental Commission will consist of 11 members, from May 1, 2023 through April 30, 2024, the Environmental Commission will consist of 9 members. From and after May1, 2024, the Environmental Commission will consist of seven members.

Mr. Meyer presented some general changes to the Board and Commissions Code. These include a change to the Code which states that members of the Board of Trustees may remove any member of the Environmental Commission who misses two or more regularly scheduled meetings in any twelve-month period.

There was nothing further on this item.

Village Manager Gaura, spoke regarding the fact that Mayor Patel has the ability to add or remove items from Consent at the Regular Village Board meeting and that perhaps this item should go on Consent. Mayor Patel agreed to do so.

Public Forum

There was none.

Adjournment to Closed Session

At 6:24 P.M. Trustee Klatzco made a motion to move the meeting into Closed Session for the purpose of discussing Filling a Public Office 2-C3 seconded by Trustee Martell.

Upon Roll Call the results were:

AYES: Trustees Halevi, Martel, Saleem, Sargon, Herrera and Klatzco

NAYS:

The motion passed

Reconvention

At 6:30 P.M. President Patel reconvened Committee of the Whole.

Adjournment

At 7:31P.M. Trustee Klatzco moved to adjourn the Committee of the Whole, seconded by Trustee Sargon.

Upon Roll Call the results were:

AYES: Trustees Herrera, Klatzco, Sargon, Saleem, Martel and Halevi

NAYS: None

Meeting Adjourned

Respectfully Submitted,

Beryl Herman
Village Clerk



MEMORANDUM

TO: President Patel and Members of the Village Board

FROM: Anne Marie Gaura, Village Manager

DATE: September 16, 2021

SUBJECT: **September 23, 2021 Meeting of the Committee of the Whole**

The Committee of the Whole (COTW) meeting is scheduled for **6:30 P.M.** on Tuesday evening. Please find below a summary of the items for discussion:

1. Discussion Concerning Amendments to the Building Code in Regards to Electrical Standards (6:30-7:00 P.M.)

In 2013, the Village adopted a local amendment to the National Electrical Code (NEC) pertaining to provisions that permit certain types of conductors in buildings. Specifically, Section 14-6-3 of the Village Code establishes the following local amendment to Section 310.1 of the NEC: All conductors and wiring shall be copper conductors. Conductors made of any other material, including aluminum, shall not be permitted, and all references to conductors other than copper shall be deemed as being deleted from this code. [Attached](#) is a memo from the Development Manager seeking feedback from the Village Board based on the information presented in the memo.

2. Discussion Concerning Boards and Commissions Code Review (7:00-7:30 P.M.)

As part of the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget the Village Board approved a review of the Village Municipal Code. During the June 1, 2021 Committee of the Whole Meeting the Village Board directed for the commencement of that review to begin with Chapter 3: Boards and Commissions. Following this direction from the Village Board staff have worked with the Village Attorney to draft general changes to Chapter 3 of the Village's Municipal Code as part of this ongoing review. [Attached](#) is a memo from the Assistant Village Manager outlining items for the Village Board to discuss in regard to possible changes to Boards and Commissions.

If you should have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Anne Marie Gaura, Village Manager

FROM: Doug Hammel, Development Manager

DATE: September 23, 2021

SUBJECT: Potential Building Code Revision Regarding Aluminum Conductors

Background

In 2013, the Village adopted a local amendment to the National Electrical Code (NEC) pertaining to provisions that permit certain types of conductors in buildings. Specifically, Section 14-6-3 of the Village Code establishes the following local amendment to Section 310.1 of the NEC:

All conductors and wiring shall be copper conductors. Conductors made of any other material, including aluminum, shall not be permitted, and all references to conductors other than copper shall be deemed as being deleted from this code.

Based on feedback from FSCI (the Village's fire safety consultant) and SAFEbuilt (the Village's building code consultant), several communities adopted similar amendments based on concerns related to electrical arcing that would result from the expansion and oxidation of aluminum wiring.

Tucker Development (Tucker), owner of the property approved for the District 1860 project, recently approached the Village to discuss this local requirement. This memorandum describes the nature of those discussions with Tucker Development, subsequent research conducted by Village staff, and a recommendation for a potential code amendment to appropriately accommodate aluminum conductors in certain instances, while protecting the integrity of the electrical network most directly accessible to building occupants.

Discussions with Tucker Development

In August 2021, Village staff met with representatives of Tucker's team to discuss the viability of aluminum as a conductor material. Points made by Tucker's team at that time included the following:

- Historically, aluminum conductors were recognized as a safety risk due to the expansion of the metal material that would leave gaps at connectors that would lead to arcing, and oxidation that could compromise the integrity of the conductor. However, advancements in the design of conductors and the use of composite materials have resulted in compressed aluminum conductors that reduce the risks associated with traditional aluminum conductors.

- About 10-15 years ago, several communities adopted similar local amendments requiring the use of copper for conductors. However, given the advancements in compressed aluminum conductors, many communities are revising those local amendments to allow the use of compressed aluminum.
- Several large-scale projects in surrounding communities, including a new mixed-use development in Skokie, have been approved with compressed aluminum conductors serving the main lines up to electrical boxes for individual units. Copper conductors are then used from the electrical boxes to individual outlets and appliances.
- The requirement of copper conductors imposes a substantial and unnecessary cost to development projects, given the price of copper and the reduced safety risks associated with compressed aluminum versus traditional aluminum.

National Electrical Code Requirements

In November 2019, the Village adopted by reference the 2014 edition of the NFPA 70 National Electrical Code (NEC). As a part of that process in 2019, existing local amendments were reviewed, and no specific recommendation regarding the local amendment in question was provided. Therefore, it was carried forth and applied to the 2014 edition of the NEC currently used by the Village.

If not for the Village’s local amendment, that edition of the NEC would allow the use of aluminum conductors, and would require the application of an anti-oxidation gel at points where the aluminum conductors connect to other elements in the electrical network.

Village Staff Research and Technical Opinion

Village staff researched several neighboring communities to assess how the Village’s local amendment requiring copper conduits compares with other communities. That research found the following: Of 14 communities researched, five either require the use of copper or prohibit the use of aluminum inside all structures. These include Morton Grove, Northfield, Highland Park, Park Ridge, and Mount Prospect. The remaining nine communities, which include Skokie, Niles, Glenview, Northbrook, Deerfield, Wilmette, Winnetka, Evanston, and Des Plaines, allow aluminum conductors. Glenview’s regulation include a requirement that all aluminum conductors have a minimum gauge size of 2 AWG.

Village staff sought the opinion of FSCI and SAFEbuilt in order to better understand the potential ramifications of considering an amendment to the Village’s requirement of copper conductors. FSCI stated that they are supportive of the base requirements of the NEC, and SAFEbuilt stated that they are supportive of the use of aluminum conductors. However, staff and SAFEbuilt concur that additional restrictions should be included that require the use of copper conductors in areas where non-licensed professionals are most likely to have access to the electrical network. The Fire Department also concurs with that approach.

It should be noted that various staff from the Village and its consultants will be available to answer technical questions during the September 23 Committee of the Whole meeting.

Staff Recommendation

Based on the research conducted by staff and the advice of Village consultants, staff is presenting the following recommendation regarding a potential Text Amendment pertaining to aluminum conductors:

- For all single-family detached, single-family attached, and multi-family projects with ten or fewer units, copper conductors are required throughout the structure. (This would include any portion of the development not installed by ComEd as part of their primary feed to the property.)
- For all other developments, aluminum conductors would be permitted for main service lines and other portions of the electrical network up to the electrical boxes that then lead to branch circuits

serving individual uses or tenant spaces. Any branch circuits leading from these electrical boxes would have to use copper conductors.

- The use of aluminum conductors is subject to all other requirements of the NEC, including the use of ant-oxidizing gel and any other provisions established in that code.
- SAFEbuilt has recommended that the Village consider a minimum gauge size for aluminum conductors, similar to Glenview's requirement that all such conductors be at least 2 AWG. This requirement would result smaller amperage lines providing services to electrical boxes being upsized if compressed aluminum is the preferred conductor material, which would decrease the stress placed on that compressed aluminum wire.

Requested Action

Staff requests feedback from the Board regarding the technical findings and recommendation presented in this report. If the Board concurs with staff's recommendation, or a revised version of that recommendation, staff requests that the Board direct the Village Attorney to prepare an Ordinance for consideration at the October 5, 2021 regular Village Board meeting.

Documents Attached

1. Summary of Peer Community Research
2. PowerPoint Presentation

Attachment #1. Summary of Peer Community Research

Community	Are aluminum conductors permitted?	Relevant code language or comments
<i>Lincolnwood</i>	No	<i>All conductors and wiring shall be copper conductors. Conductors made of any other material, including aluminum, shall not be permitted, and all references to conductors other than copper shall be deemed as being deleted from this code.</i>
Skokie	Yes	
Morton Grove	No	All conductors/wiring shall be copper conductors. Conductors made of any other material, including aluminum, shall not be permitted, and all references to conductors other than copper conductors shall be deemed as being deleted from this code.
Niles	Yes	
Northfield	No	Sec. C-17. WIRING. (5) Aluminum wire shall not be used on or in any structure.
Glenview	Yes, conditionally	The use of compact aluminum and copper clad aluminum conductors shall be prohibited if smaller the 2 AWG.
Northbrook	Yes	
Highland Park	No	Conductors normally used to carry current shall be of copper conductors.
Deerfield	Yes	
Wilmette	Yes	
Winnetka	Yes	
Evanston	Yes	
Park Ridge	No	All wiring installed above grade shall be copper and in metallic conduit
Des Plaines	Yes	
Mt. Prospect	No	Aluminum wire is strictly prohibited inside the structure. All conductors shall be of solid or stranded copper on the interior of the structure.

Potential Building Code Revision Regarding Aluminum Conductors

Tonight's Discussion

Staff presentation

- Background
- Peer community research and technical analysis
- Staff recommendation

Staff resources available to the Board

- Village staff from Fire and Community Development
- SAFEbuilt (building)
- Fire Department prepared to speak on behalf of FSCI

Background

Village refers to the National Electrical Code (NEC) to regulate the installation of electrical systems

NEC allows for aluminum conductors, but local Village amendment to the NEC requires copper for all conductors

- Current local amendment was adopted in 2013 when aluminum conductors presented safety risks due to expansion and oxidation
- Recent code update in 2019 carried that local amendment forward with no specific discussion on this topic

Tucker Development has raised questions about this requirement based on newer technologies that reduce the risk of aluminum conductors

Information from Tucker

Newer composite aluminum conductors are safer due to a reduction in expansion and oxidation

Many communities are “undoing” their previous local amendments requiring copper due to the reduced safety risk of compressed aluminum

Several large-scale projects around Lincolnwood have been approved using compressed aluminum conductors

Requirement of copper imposes substantial undue cost given the improvements in compressed copper

NEC Allowances

Village uses the 2014 edition of the NEC

If not for the current local amendment, the NEC would allow the following:

- Allows for the use of aluminum conductors throughout development
- Requires the use of an anti-oxidant gel at connection points with other elements in the electrical network

Staff Research

Peer community research:

- 5 of 14 communities either prohibit the use of aluminum conductors or require the use of copper conductors
- 9 of 14 either have no local amendments restricting the use of aluminum conductors, or have certain parameters related to their use
- Glenview requires a minimum gauge size of 2 AWG for aluminum conductors

Feedback from Village consultants:

- FSCI stated that they are comfortable with the requirements set forth in the NEC
- SAFEbuilt stated that they are supportive of the use of compressed aluminum conductors, but stated that a minimum gauge size of 2 AWG should be considered and that copper should be used between electrical boxes and outlets in individual units

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends revising the current local amendment to include the following permissions and exclusions regarding compressed aluminum conductors:

- For all single-family detached, single-family attached, and multi-family projects with ten or fewer units, copper conductors are required throughout the structure.
- For all other developments:
 - ***Aluminum conductors would be permitted for main service lines and other portions of the electrical network up to the electrical boxes*** that then lead to branch circuits serving individual uses or tenant spaces.
 - ***Any branch circuits leading from these electrical boxes would have to use copper conductors.***
 - ***The use of aluminum conductors is subject to all other requirements of the NEC***, including the use of ant-oxidizing gel and any other provisions established in that code.
 - All aluminum conductors ***must be at least 2 AWG.***

Requested Action

Discussion regarding the findings and recommendation presented in the staff report

If the Board reaches consensus, direct the Village Attorney to draft an Ordinance revising the local amendment to Section 310.1 of the NEC



MEMORANDUM

TO: Anne Marie Gaura, Village Manager

FROM: Charles Meyer, Assistant Village Manager

DATE: September 23, 2021

SUBJECT: Discussion Concerning Boards and Commissions Code Review

Background

As part of the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget the Village Board approved a review of the Village Board Code. During the June 1, 2021 Committee of the Whole Meeting the Village Board directed for the commencement of that review to begin with Chapter 3: Boards and Commissions. Following this direction from the Village Board staff have worked with the Village Attorney to draft general changes to Chapter 3 of the Village Code as part of this ongoing review. The goal of the meeting of the September 23 Committee of the Whole is to discuss the changes desired by the Village Board.

Discussion

The Village Board has directed staff to review and present findings related to the Village Code starting with Chapter 3: Boards and Commissions. The schedule for this review is as follows:

- September 23 Committee of the Whole: Review Telecommunications Advisory Commission, Traffic Commission, Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB), Board of Trustees of Police Pension Fund, and Board of Police Commissioners (BFPC), along with standing Committees of the Village Board including Finance, Fire and Water, Ordinances, Rules and Buildings, and Police, License, and Health.
- September 23 Village Board Meeting: Consider changes proposed by the Village Board during the September 23 Committee of the Whole related to the ETSB, Police Pension Fund, BFPC, and Committees of the Board.
- October 19 Committee of the Whole: Discussion of future review of the Village Code requested by the Village Board and changes proposed by staff.
- October 19 Village Board Meeting: Consider changes proposed during the October 19 Committee of the Whole.

The structure outlined above is designed to provide a time table for reviewing Chapter 3 of the Village Code in line with the policy direction to be provided by the Village Board. As part of this review, staff

reviewed the following Boards and Commissions and no changes are recommended at this time for the following Boards and Commissions other than changing terms limits:

- Board of Police and Fire Commissioners
- Police Pension Board
- Telecommunications Advisory Commission
- Traffic Commission
- Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB)

Additionally, staff reviewed the standing Village Board Committees, as listed in the Village Code and have no recommended changes for these Committees:

- Committee of the Whole.
- Committee on Finance.
- Committee on Fire and Water.
- Committee on Ordinances, Rules and Buildings.
- Committee on Police, License and Health.

The following items are being proposed for consideration:

- Removal of term limits for Village Boards and Commissions.

The proposed Ordinance that will be considered during the Village Board Meeting is attached to this memorandum.

Financial Impact

The cost to have the Village Code reviewed was included in the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget.

Recommendation

It is the recommendation of this memorandum that this is discussed at the September 9, 2021 Committee of the Whole.

Documents Attached

1. Proposed Ordinance
2. PowerPoint Presentation

VILLAGE OF LINCOLNWOOD

ORDINANCE NO. 2021-_____

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF
LINCOLNWOOD REGARDING VILLAGE BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS**

ADOPTED BY THE
PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES
OF THE VILLAGE OF LINCOLNWOOD
THIS ____ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021.

Published in pamphlet form
by the authority of the
President and Board of Trustees
of the Village of Lincolnwood,
Cook County, Illinois
this ____ day of _____, 2021

ORDINANCE NO. 2021-_____

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF LINCOLNWOOD REGARDING VILLAGE BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

WHEREAS, the Village of Lincolnwood is a home rule municipal corporation in accordance with Article VII, Section 6(a) of the Constitution of the State of Illinois of 1970; and

WHEREAS, the Village has the authority to adopt ordinances and to promulgate rules and regulations that pertain to its government and affairs; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 3 of the Municipal Code of Lincolnwood, as amended ("*Village Code*"), the Village has established various boards and commissions to aid in the administration and governance of the Village; and

WHEREAS, the Village President and Board of Trustees desire to amend Chapter 3 of the Village Code concerning the limitations on re-appointments to Village boards and commissions; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees have determined that it will serve and be in the best interest of the Village and its residents to amend Chapter 3 of the Village Code pursuant to this Ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF LINCOLNWOOD, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, as follows:

SECTION 1. RECITALS. The facts and statements contained in the preamble to this Ordinance are found to be true and correct and are hereby adopted as part of this Ordinance.

SECTION 2. APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS. Section 3-1-2 of the Village Code is hereby amended further to read as follows:

“3-1-2: APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS.

- (A) The Village President shall appoint, with the advice and consent of the Board of Trustees, all members of all commissions.
- (B) Commission members shall be appointed from among the residents of the Village of Lincolnwood. Members must be registered voters and must have resided within the Village of Lincolnwood for at least one year preceding appointment to a commission, except as may be requested by the Village President and approved by a majority vote of the Board of Trustees.
- (C) In the event that a vacancy in any office of a member of a commission occurs for any reason, the Village President shall appoint, with the advice

{00122273.1} Additions are bold and double underlined; ~~deletions are struck through.~~

and consent of the Board of Trustees, a successor to fill the unexpired term of office created by the vacancy.

- (D) The term of each commission member shall be three years. ~~No member shall serve for more than four consecutive three-year terms. Following the conclusion of the fourth three-year term, no member shall be reappointed to a commission for a period of 12 months, commencing the day a successor has been duly appointed, except as may be requested by the Village President and approved by a majority vote of the Board of Trustees.~~
- (E) The term of a member of a commission shall not terminate or expire until that member's successor has been duly appointed, confirmed, and qualified.”

SECTION 3. TELECOMMUNICATIONS ADVISORY COMMISSION. Section 3-12 of the Village Code is hereby amended further to read as follows:

“3-12-1: ESTABLISHMENT AND MEMBERSHIP.

- (A) There is hereby established a Telecommunications Advisory Commission consisting of five members.
- (B) Notwithstanding Section 3-1-2 of this Code, the term of each commission member shall be six years. ~~No member shall serve for more than three consecutive six-year terms. Following the conclusion of the third six-year term, no member shall be reappointed to the Telecommunications Advisory Commission for a period of twelve months, commencing the day a successor has been duly appointed, except as may be requested by the Village President and approved by a majority vote of the Board of Trustees.”~~

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this Ordinance or part thereof is held invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions of this Ordinance are to remain in full force and effect, and are to be interpreted, applied, and enforced so as to achieve, as near as may be, the purpose and intent of this Ordinance to the greatest extent permitted by applicable law.

SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Ordinance will be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in the manner provided by law.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

PASSED this _____ day of September, 2021.

AYES: _____

NAYS: _____

ABSENT: _____

ABSTENTION: _____

APPROVED by me this _____ day of September, 2021.

Jesal Patel, President
Village of Lincolnwood, Cook County, Illinois

ATTESTED and FILED in my office the
_____ day of September, 2021.

Beryl Herman, Village Clerk
Village of Lincolnwood, Cook County, Illinois

Discussion Concerning Boards and Commissions Code Review

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

SEPTEMBER 23, 2021

A solid green horizontal bar at the bottom of the slide.

Board and Commission Code Review

Village Board directed a review of the Village Code starting with Chapter 3: Boards and Commissions

Reviewing the Telecommunications Advisory Commission, Traffic Commission, Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB), Board of Trustees of Police Pension Fund, and Board of Police Commissioners (BFPC)

Reviewing the standing Committees of the Village Board including Finance, Fire and Water, Ordinances, Rules and Buildings, and Police, License, and Health

Board and Commission Code Review Schedule

September 9: Review of General Code Changes

September 23: Review Telecommunications Advisory Commission, Traffic Commission, Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB), Board of Trustees of Police Pension Fund, and Board of Police Commissioners (BFPC), along with standing Committees of the Village Board

October 19 Committee of the Whole: Discussion of future review of the Village Code requested by the Village Board

October 19 Village Board Meeting: Consideration of an Ordinance for changes to the Village Code

Recommended Code Changes

3-1-2(D) The term of each commission member shall be three years. No member shall serve for more than four consecutive three-year terms. ~~Following the conclusion of the fourth three year term, no member shall be reappointed to a commission for a period of 12 months, commencing the day a successor has been duly appointed, except as may be requested by the Village President and approved by a majority vote of the Board of Trustees.~~

Recommended Code Changes

3-12-1(B) Notwithstanding Section 3-1-2 of this Code, the term of each commission member shall be six years. No member shall serve for more than three consecutive six-year terms. ~~Following the conclusion of the third six-year term, no member shall be reappointed to the Telecommunications Advisory Commission for a period of twelve months, commencing the day a successor has been duly appointed, except as may be requested by the Village President and approved by a majority vote of the Board of Trustees.”~~

Policy Questions

Does the Village Board desire to modify, add, or delete any of the existing Standing Committees:

- Committee of the Whole
- Committee on Finance
- Committee on Fire and Water
- Committee on Ordinances, Rules and Buildings
- Committee on Police, License, and Health

Does the Village Board desire to make any changes to Chapter 3?

In advance of the October 19 Meeting, does the Village Board have any aspects of the Village Code they would like further researched?